

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/970,912 10/04/2001		10/04/2001	Perry J. Robertson	SD-6769	3158	
20567	7590	12/16/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SANDIA (ATION	SHIFERAW	SHIFERAW, ELENI A		
P O BOX 5 MS-0161	800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALBUQUE	RQUE, N	IM 87185-0161	2136			
				DATE MAN ED 12/15/200		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		l Ameliantian Nia						
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/970,912	ROBERTSON ET	ROBERTSON ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Eleni A Shiferaw	2136					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, y within the statutory minimun will apply and will expire SIX (s, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered time 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
Status								
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 O</u>	ctober 2001.						
•		action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideratio						
Applicat	ion Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b)⊡ objecto drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the att	ached Office Action or form P	'TO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been receive s have been receive rity documents have u (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this Nationa	al Stage				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		rview Summary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔯 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/04/2001</u> .	5) 🔲 Not	er No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Application (PT er:	ΓO-152)				

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-21 are presented for examination.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5, and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takaragi et al. (Takaragi, US Patent Number: 4,969,190), in view of Johnson et al. (Johnson, Patent Number 5,432,849).

As per claims 1 and 12, Takaragi teaches a method or a pipelined encryption/decryption engine of enhancing throughput of a pipelined encryption/decryption engine for an encryption/decryption process comprising a predetermined number of stages and providing feedback around the stages, the method comprising the steps of:

receiving a source datablock for a given stage and encryption/decryption context identifier (Takaragi Col. 4 lines 1-61; where i is identifier of each data block);

indexing according to the encryption/decryption context identifier into a bank of initial variables to retrieve an initial variable for the source datablock, the bank comprising a plurality of initial variables for each encryption/decryption context identifier (Takaragi Col. 4 lines 39-42); and

Art Unit: 2136

generating an output datablock from the source datablock and its corresponding initial variable (Takaragi Col. 4 lines 43-61).

Takaragi does not explicitly teach having plurality of initial vectors or variables,

However Johnston discloses set of predetermined control vectors C1,..., Cn (Johnston Col. 15 lines 54-67, and Fig. 11A).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the teachings of Johnston within the system of Takaragi because it would enhance security to data processing systems and methods and more particularly relates to cryptographic systems and methods for use in data processing systems (Johnston Col. 1 lines 10-14). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the teachings of Johnston with in the system of Takaragi because it would allow a higher level of security.

As per claims 2 and 13, Takaragi and Johnston teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition Johnston teaches the method or the encryption/decryption engine, wherein in the indexing step/means the bank of initial variables comprises a number of initial variables for each encryption/decryption context identifier that is at least as large as the predetermined number of stages (Johnston Col. 15 lines 54-67, and Fig. 11A). The rational for combining are the same as claim 7 above.

Art Unit: 2136

As per claims 3 and 14, Takaragi and Johnston teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition, Takaragi teaches the method or the encryption/decryption engine, additionally comprising the step/means of replacing the corresponding initial variable with the output datablock (Takaragi Col. 3 lines 14-27).

As per claims 4, and 15, Takaragi and Johnston teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition, Takaragi teaches the method or the encryption/decryption engine, wherein the encryption/decryption process comprises Cipher Block Chaining Mode with exception of handling of initial variables (Takaragi Col. 2 lines 65-col. 3 lines 3).

As per claim 5, Takaragi and Johnston teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition, Takaragi teaches the method, wherein the encryption/decryption process comprises a block cipher capable of being pipelined (Takaragi Col. 3 lines 64-col. 4 lines 61).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6-11, and 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takaragi et al. (Takaragi, US Patent Number: 4,969,190), in view of Johnson et al. (Johnson,

Art Unit: 2136

Patent Number 5,432,849), and in further view of Bruce Schneier (Schneier, Applied Cryptography Second Edition, 1996).

As per claims 7 and 17, Takaragi teaches a method or an encryption/decryption engine for enhancing throughput of a pipelined encryption/decryption engine for an encryption/decryption process comprising a predetermined number of stages and providing feedback around the stages, the method comprising the steps of:

means for, as to each of a plurality of encryption/decryption contexts, receiving a source datablock for the corresponding encryption context identifier (Takaragi Col. 4 lines 1-25);

means for, as to for each of the plurality of encryption/decryption contexts, indexing according to the encryption/decryption context identifier into a bank of variables comprising initial variables (Takaragi Col. 4 lines 39-42) and prior-stage output datablocks to retrieve a seed variable for the source datablock (Takaragi Col. 4 lines 39-61); and

means for, as to for each of the plurality of encryption/decryption contexts, generating an output datablock from the source datablock and its corresponding seed variable (Takaragi Col. 4 lines 43-61);

wherein each stage of the pipelined encryption/decryption engine at any given time is processing source datablocks from an encryption/decryption context different than encryption/decryption contexts of source datablocks being processed in all other stages of the pipelined encryption/decryption engine (Takaragi Col. 1 lines 31-50, col. 3 lines 59-63, and col. 4 lines 43-61),

Takaragi does not explicitly teach having plurality of initial vectors or variables,

Art Unit: 2136

However Johnston discloses set of predetermined control vectors C1,..., Cn (Johnston Col. 15 lines 54-67, and Fig. 11A).

However Johnston discloses set of predetermined control vectors C1,..., Cn (Johnston Col. 15 lines 54-67, and Fig. 11A) that reads on exceeding the predetermined number of stages or extra rounds of ciphering of blocks.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the teachings of Johnston within the system of Takaragi because it would enhance security to data processing systems and methods and more particularly relates to cryptographic systems and methods for use in data processing systems (Johnston Col. 1 lines 10-14). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the teachings of Johnston with in the system of Takaragi because it would allow a higher level of security.

Takaragi and Johnston do not explicitly teach means for, as to each of a plurality of encryption/decryption contexts, a number of which equals or exceeds the predetermined number of stages,

However Schneier teaches means for, as to each of a plurality of encryption/decryption contexts, a number of which equals or exceeds the predetermined number of stages (Schneier Page 311par. 1, 2, and 3),

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the teachings of Schneier with in the combination system of Takaragi and Johnston because it would be more secure and difficult to break (Schneier Page 311 par. 1, 2, and 3).

Art Unit: 2136

As per claims 6, and 16, Takaragi, Johnson, and Schneier teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition Schneier teach the method, wherein the process is Digital Encryption Standard (DES) (Schneier Page 311 par. 5). The rational for combining are the same as claim 7 above.

As per claims 8 and 18, Takaragi, Johnson, and Schneier teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition, Takaragi teaches the method or the encryption/decryption engine, wherein each of the plurality of encryption/decryption contexts comprises a data stream to be encrypted (Takaragi Abstract; it is obvious that the data can be any kind of data including a telecommunication data).

As per claims 9, and 19, Takaragi, Johnson, and Schneier teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition Takaragi teaches the method, additionally comprising the step of decrypting the output datablocks at a plurality of locations distributed from the encryption/decryption engine corresponding in number to the number of encryption/decryption contexts (Takaragi Col. 4 lines 43-61).

As per claims 10, and 20, Takaragi, Johnson, and Schneier teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition, Takaragi teaches the method or the encryption/decryption engine, wherein the encryption/decryption process comprises Cipher Block Chaining Mode with exception of handling of initial variables (Takaragi Col. 2 lines 65-col. 3 lines 3).

Art Unit: 2136

As per claim 11, and 21 Takaragi, Johnson, and Schneier teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition Schneier teaches the method wherein the encryption/decryption process comprises a block cipher capable of being pipelined such as Digital Encryption Standard (DES). (Schneier Page 311 par. 5). The rational for combining are the same as claim 7 above.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eleni A Shiferaw whose telephone number is 571-272-3867. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AU2131

Eleni Shiferaw Art Unit 2136 December 6, 2004